Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BRUSSE 05965 01 OF 02 101852Z ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 CIAE-00 INR-07 IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-07 NRC-05 OES-07 FEAE-00 DODE-00 ERDA-05 SS-15 SP-02 PM-04 /096 W

R 101840Z JUN 77
FM AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0211
INFO ALL EC CAPITALS
ERDA WASHDC
ERDA GERMANTOWN
AMEMBASSY VIENNA

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 01 OF 02 BRUSSELS 05965

USEEC

VIENNA FOR IAEA: PARIS ALSO FOR USOECD

E.O. 11652:GDS

TAGS: EEC, PARM, TECH, IAEA

SUBJ; EURATOM/IAEA VERIFICATION AGREEMENT

REF: A.STATE 124488, B.BRUSSELS 5614

- 1. FOLLOWING INFORMATION ON STATUS OF EC EFFORTS TO COMPLETE SUBSIDIARY ARRANGEMENTS UNDER SUBJECT AGREEMENT WAS OBTAINED BY USEC OFFICERS AT A MEETING WITH MOEHLER, CHEF DE CABINET FOR COMMISSIONER BRUNNER, SCHLEICHER, DIRECTOR, EURATOM SAFEGUARDS, AND OTHER EC OFFICIALS ON JUNE 8.
- 2. THE COMMISSION HAS PROPOSED A CONCEPT THAT THEY BELIEVE WOULD GIVE IAEA INSPECTORS THE NECESSARY DIRECT INVOLVEMENT WHILE AT THE SAME TIME MINIMIZING DUPLICATION OF EFFORT. THE CONCEPT REFERRED TO EARLIER BY COMMISSION-CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BRUSSE 05965 01 OF 02 101852Z

ER BRUNNER (REFTEL B), INVOLVES THE USE OF JOINT (OR "MIXED") INSPECTION TEAMS FOR THOSE FACILITIES WHERE MORE THAN ONE EURATOM INSPECTOR IS NECESSARY TO CONDUCT INSPECTIONS (I.E., AT "SENSITIVE" FACILITIES SUCH AS REPROCESSING PLANTS, ENRICHMENT FACILITIES, AND FUEL FABRICATION FACILITIES). THE INSPECTION TEAM, UNDER THIS CONCEPT, WOULD BE COMPOSED OF BOTH EURATOM AND IAEA INSPECTORS

WORKING INTIMATELY TOGETHER ON THE COMMON INSPECTION ACTIVITY. BY THIS MEANS, THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE AT A SITE DURING AN INSPECTION COULD BE EFFECTIVELY REDUCED IN HALF (COMPARED TO ONE-FOR-ONE DUPLICATION BY IAEA OF THE EURATOM INSPECTION FORCE). THE PROPOSAL WAS INTRODUCED WITH COREPER ON JUNE 2 BUT NOT DISCUSSED. MOEHLER WAS CONCERNED THAT IT MIGHT NOT BE FAVORABLY RECEIVED SINCE CONFIDENTIAL

THERE HAD BEEN MEMBER STATE OPPOSITION TO THIS CONCEPT WHEN IT WAS RAISED FIVE YEARS AGO AS ONE POSSIBLE ARRANGE-MENT UNDER THE EURATOM/IAEA VERIFICATION AGREEMENT THEN UNDER NEGOTIATION. IT WAS DISCUSSED AGAIN BRIEFLY IN COREPER LATE JUNE 9, BUT APPARENTLY INCONCLUSIVELY.

3. SCHLEICHER REPORTED THAT STEADY PROGRESS IS BEING MADE ON THE UNCONTROVERSIAL PARTS OF COMPLETING THE FACILITY ATTACHMENTS, MAINLY THROUGH FREQUENT MEETINGS OF THE "STANDING CONTACT GROUP." THE PRINCIPAL IMPASSE IS STILL THE QUESTION OF THE DEGREE OF INDEPENDENT INSPECTION THE IAEA MUST DO VERSUS HOW MUCH, IF ANY, OF THE UNACCOMPANIED INSPECTION FINDINGS OF EURATOM THE IAEA INSPECTORS CAN ACCEPT. SCHLEICHER SAID THAT HE SEES THE IAEA ATTITUDE AS BEING UNWILLING TO ACCEPT ANY INSPECTION RESULTS UNLESS IAEA WERE DIRECTLY INVOLVED. THIS, HE SAYS, WOULD RENDER THE EURATOM SAFEGUARDS SYSTEM USELESS AND SUPERFLUOUS. HE ARGUES THAT THE 1973 AGREEMENT CLEARLY PROVIDED FOR SOMETHING LESS THAN TOTAL DUPLICATION OF EURATOM INSPECTION EFFORT.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BRUSSE 05965 01 OF 02 101852Z

- 4. MISSION OFFICERS GAVE EC OFFICIALS AN UNOFFICIAL COPY OF THE SUBPARAGRAPHSOF PARAGRAPH 2 REFTEL A (CONVEYED BY AMBASSADOR TO BRUNNER ON JUNE 2, REFTEL B). EC OFFICIALS RECOGNIZE THAT U.S. POSITION IS THE SAME AS THEY HAVE BEEN HEARING FROM VIENNA. THE MOST SENSITIVE ISSUES APPEARED TO BE (1) THE U.S. POSITION THAT IAEA CANNOT ACCEPT ANY EURATOM INSPECTION ACTIVITY WITHOUT INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION WHICH THE EC OFFICIALS STATE IS CONTRARY TO THEIR PERCEPTION OF THE LETTER AND INTENT OF THE 1973 AGREEMENT, AND (2) THE APPARENT U.S. REFUSAL TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE CONCEPT THAT IAEA INSPECTION WITHIN EURATOM IS TO BE WITH A LARGER MESH SCREEN THAN THAT USED BY THE EURATOM SAFEGUARDS SYSTEM. THEY READ OUR TEXT AS REQUIRING THAT IAEA EMPLOY THE SAME AMOUNT OF INSPECTION EFFORT IN EC COUNTRIES AS THEY DO IN ANY OTHER COUNTRY. THIS, THEY FEEL, IS A NEW POSITION BY THE U.S.
- 5. THERE WAS SOME CONCERN OVER OUR PHRASE, "EITHER ALONE

OR TOGETHER WITH EURATOM INSPECTORS," IN PARA 2.C., REFTEL A. THEY POINTED OUT THE AGREEMENT DOES NOT ALLOW IAEA INSPECTORS TO CONDUCT INSPECTIONS WITHOUT BEING ACCOMPANIED BY EURATOM PERSONNEL. EC OFFICIALS ALSO NOTED THAT THE EURATOM/IAEA AGREEMENT CALLS FOR MORE THAN JUST A "SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTING FOR AND CONTROL OF NUCLEAR MATERIAL." THEY SAY THAT THIS PORTION OF THEIR AGREEMENT WAS PURPOSELY WORDED AS A "SYSTEM OF SAFEGUARDS," THUS RECOGNIZING THE UNIQUE CHARACTER OF THE EURATOM SYSTEM COMPARED TO THAT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN ADP121 CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BRUSSE 05965 02 OF 02 101854Z ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 CIAE-00 INR-07 IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-07 NRC-05 OES-07 FEAE-00 DODE-00 ERDA-05 SS-15 SP-02 PM-04 /096 W

R 101840Z JUN 77
FM AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0212
INFO ALL EC CAPITALS
ERDA WASHDC
ERDA GERMANTOWN
AMEMBASSY VIENNA

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 02 OF 02 BRUSSELS 05965

OF A STATE OR GROUP OF STATES.

- 6. MOEHLER NOTED THAT FRG AND BELGIUM HAVE NOT YET COMPLETED THE LEGISLATIVE MEASURES NEEDED TO BRING THE AGREEMENT INTO FORCE. HE SAID THAT THE US POSITION, IF MADE KNOWN TO OPPOSITION PARTIES IN THE RESPECTIVE PARLIAMENTS, WOULD PROVIDE STRONG AMMUNITION FOR DEFEATING, DELAYING, OR REVISING THE ENACTMENT OF THESE MEASURES IN THOSE COUNTRIES.
- 7. MOEHLER WAS UNABLE TO PREDICT WHAT THE NEXT STEP MIGHT BE SINCE IT DEPENDED ON RESULTS OF COREPER CONSIDERATION. IT ALSO IS NOT YET DECIDED AT WHAT MANAGEMENT LEVEL THE NEXT DISCUSSIONS WITH IAEA WILL BE HELD PENDING HIGH LEVEL POLITICAL DECISIONS ON THE REMAINING PROBLEMS. COMMISSION OFFICIALS SPOKE IN TERMS OF HAVING

A SENIOR COMMISSION OFFICIAL GO TO VIENNA FOR FURTHER EXPLORATORY TALKS ON POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS.

8. THE FLAVOR OF EURATOM STAFF REACTION TO OUR DEMARCHE IS PERHAPS WELL ILLUSTRATED BY THE QUESTION MICHEL CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BRUSSE 05965 02 OF 02 101854Z

AMORY, IN CHARGE OF EXTERNAL RELATIONS IN NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY IN DIRECTORATE GENERAL FOR SCIENCE AND RESEARCH, ASKED THE AMBASSADOR JUNE 9. "DOES THE UNITED STATES WANT EURATOM TO RENEGOTIATE ITS BASIC AGREEMENT WITH IAEA?" THE ANSWER WAS "NO, BUT IT IS IMPORTANT FOR EURATOM TO GO THE EXTRA MILE IN IMPLEMENTING THAT AGREEMENT."HINTON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: NUCLEAR AGREEMENTS, NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION, NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 10-Jun-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 22 May 2009 Disposition Event:

Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977BRUSSE05965
Document Source: CORE

Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Expiration: Film Number: D770208-0135 Format: TEL

From: BRUSSELS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770670/aaaacjoe.tel

Line Count: 186 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: 0604ee7f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION ACDA

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 STATE 124488, 77 BRUSSELS 5614

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 29-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 2205242 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: ; EURATOM/IAEA VERIFICATION AGREEMENT

TAGS: PARM, TECH, EEC, IAEA, EURATOM

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/0604ee7f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009